

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
EASTERN DIVISION

STEVEN HIGGINS, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. ) CASE NO. 3:22-CV-638-KFP  
 )  
 KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting )  
 Commissioner of Social Security, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

**MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER**

The Commissioner has filed an Unopposed Motion for Entry of Judgment Under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Doc. 12. Sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) authorizes the district court to “enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing.” 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A district court may remand a case to the Commissioner for a rehearing if the court finds “the decision is not supported by substantial evidence [or the Commissioner or ALJ] incorrectly applied the law relevant to the disability claim.” *Jackson v. Chater*, 99 F.3d 1086, 1092 (11th Cir. 1996). The parties in this case consented to entry of final judgment by the United States Magistrate Judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Docs. 6, 7.

Upon consideration of Defendant’s motion, the Court finds reversal and remand necessary. The Commissioner concedes that remand is necessary and requests that, on remand, the Appeals Council instruct the ALJ to (1) further consider the updated

record, including the respiratory testing and imaging submitted with the request for review; further evaluate the claimant's alleged symptoms; reconsider the claimant's residual functional capacity; seek supplemental vocational expert evidence to determine whether there are a significant number of jobs in the national economy that the claimant can perform; before relying on the vocational expert evidence, identify and resolve any conflicts between the occupational evidence provided by the vocational expert and information in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT) (Social Security Ruling 00-4p); give the claimant an opportunity for a hearing; and issue a new decision. Doc. 13 at 1-2.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Commissioner's motion is GRANTED and that the decision of the Commissioner is REVERSED and REMANDED for further proceedings under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) consistent with the Commissioner's motion.

It is further ORDERED, in accordance with *Bergen v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 454 F.3d 1273, 1278 n.2 (11th Cir. 2006), that Plaintiff has **90 days** after receipt of notice of an award of past due benefits to seek attorney's fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). *See also Blitch v. Astrue*, 261 F. App'x 241, 242 n.1 (11th Cir. 2008).

A separate judgment will issue.

DONE this 27th day of January, 2023.

/s/ Kelly Fitzgerald Pate  
KELLY FITZGERALD PATE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE